IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA,

Plaintiff

v.

Joel Beltran-De La Rosa,

Defendant


Criminal No. 21-cr-441-1 (SCC)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION Re: Amendment 821

The below report and recommendation relates to an initial determination as to the defendant's eligibility for a sentencing reduction promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission under Part A and Part B, Subpart 1 of Amendment 821 to Policy Statement § 1B1.10(d).

After careful review of the defendant's presentence report, charging document(s), plea agreement, plea supplement, judgment, and statement of reasons, I recommend that:

The defendant is \underline{not} eligible for a sentence reduction based on the following factor(s):
☐ A. The guidelines range that applied in the defendant' case was not determined by U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1(d) o defendant's status as a zero-point offender unde Chapter 4, Part A.
\square B. The defendant does not meet <u>all</u> of condition specified by § 4C1.1. Specifically, one or more of th following criteria applies:
\square 1) the defendant has criminal history points from Chapter Four, Part A;
<pre>D 2) the defendant received an adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3A1.4 (Terrorism);</pre>
\square 3) the defendant used violence or credible threats of violence in connection with the offense;



Since a determination of ineligibility has been made, the matter is formally submitted to the presiding District Court Judge. Defense counsel, whether retained, appointed, or *pro bono*, has fourteen days to object to the initial assessment of ineligibility. After the fourteen day period, and in the absence of an objection

by defense counsel, the presiding District Court Judge may adopt the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge and may rule on the motion for reduction of sentence.

 □ The defendant may be eligible for a sentence reduction and therefore the matter is referred to a United States District Judge.

The presiding judicial officer shall wait for the parties' stipulation of a sentence reduction within fourteen days. If no stipulation is reached within this period, the presiding judicial officer shall wait for the United States Probation Office, defense counsel, and the Government's memoranda, which shall be filed within another fourteen days.

Reasons: Defendant's total offense level was 33 and he was placed in criminal history category I because he was a zero-point offender. Dkt. 69 (Statement of Reasons) at 1; Dkt. 48 (PSR) at 8. Accordingly, the guideline range for his offense was 135 to 168 months. Defendant was sentenced to 118 months. Dkt. 68 (Judgment) at 3. Amendment 821 reduces Defendant's offense level to 31, which gives him a guideline range of 108 to 135 months. Accordingly, he may be eligible for a reduction provided that his conduct does not disqualify him.

Defendant pled guilty to possessing cocaine with an intent to distribute it, importing that cocaine into the United States, and conspiring with another person to commit these offenses. Dkt. 48 (PSR) at 4. Defendant's conduct does not appear to have implicated any of the statutorily disqualifying behavior. See id. at 5-6. Thus, he may be eligible for a reduction.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 13th day of December, 2023.

s/ Bruce J. McGiverin
BRUCE J. McGIVERIN
United States Magistrate Judge